

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,309	12/08/2003	Eduardo R. Mondragon-Parra	DP-309838	2196
27305	7590 02/01/2005		EXAMINER	
HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.			DUNWOODY, AARON M	
THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIE	LD HILLS, MI 48304-51	151	3679	
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Ŋ				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,				
		10/730,309	MONDRAGON-PARRA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit	,				
		Aaron M Dunwoody	3679					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence ad	ldresś				
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rest of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timels from the mailing date of this concept (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	October 2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	tion Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 October 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form P	ΓO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in App	lication No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been re	ceived in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
*	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmer	nt(s)							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Drawings

The drawings were received on 10/26/2004. These drawings are approved.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US patent 4678453, Aucktor et al.

In regards to claim 1, Aucktor et al discloses a stroking ball-type constant velocity joint comprising:

an inner joint member (4) having a longitudinal axis an outer surface defining a plurality of radially outwardly facing longitudinal grooves (5c) in combination with a plurality of radially outwardly facing substantially helical grooves (5a, 5b), wherein each helical groove is disposed in mirrored rotational relation with a corresponding helical groove disposed on an opposite side of the longitudinal axis (9).

In regards to claim 2, Aucktor et al discloses each of the plurality of radially outwardly facing grooves extending in mirrored relation to at least one other groove.

In regards to claim 4, Aucktor et al discloses each of the substantially helical grooves extending between two of the substantially longitudinal grooves.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,309

Art Unit: 3679

In regards to claim 5, Aucktor et al discloses the substantially helical grooves and the substantially longitudinal grooves being disposed in alternating relation along the outer surface of the inner joint member.

In regards to claim 6, Aucktor et al discloses the plurality of radially outwardly facing grooves including at least three grooves extending in different directions with respect to one another along the outer surface.

In regards to claim 7, Aucktor et al discloses each of the three grooves extending in mirrored relation to at least one other groove.

In regards to claim 8, Aucktor et al discloses a first helical groove extending between second and third helical grooves extending in opposite rotational relation to the first helical groove.

In regards to claim 10, Aucktor et al discloses a plurality of balls (6) individually disposed in and movable along the plurality of radially outwardly facing grooves; and a cage (7) surrounding the inner joint member and defining a plurality of windows wherein each of the plurality of balls individually pierces one of the plurality of windows, the plurality of windows including short windows adjacent the substantially longitudinal grooves and long windows adjacent the substantially helical grooves.

Art Unit: 3679

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 9 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aucktor et al in view of US patent 5685777, Schwarzler.

In regards to claim 3, Aucktor et al discloses the claimed invention except for four grooves of the plurality of radially outwardly facing grooves extending parallel to one another. Schwarzler teaches four grooves (20, 21) of the plurality of radially outwardly facing grooves extending parallel to one another. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide four grooves of the plurality of radially outwardly facing grooves extending parallel to one another, since duplicating the components of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

In regards to claim 9, Aucktor et al in view of Schwarzler disclose the plurality of radially outwardly facing grooves includes eight grooves.

In regards to claim 11, Aucktor et al in view of Schwarzler disclose the inner joint member including a first end and a second end and the plurality of radially outwardly facing grooves extend from the first end to the second end and include four substantially longitudinal grooves disposed along the outer surface ninety degrees from one another and four substantially helical grooves individually disposed along the outer surface

Application/Control Number: 10/730,309

Art Unit: 3679

between two of the four substantially longitudinal grooves and wherein each of the substantially helical grooves extends in opposite relation to two adjacent helical grooves.

In regards to claim 12, Aucktor et al in view of Schwarzler disclose a stroking ball-type constant velocity joint comprising:

an inner joint member having a longitudinal axis and a first end and a second end and an outer surface defining a plurality of radially outwardly facing grooves extending from the first end to the second end including four substantially longitudinal grooves disposed along the outer surface ninety degrees from one another and four substantially helical grooves individually disposed along the outer surface between two of the four substantially longitudinal grooves disposed on an oppose side of the longitudinal axis;

a plurality of balls individually disposed in and movable along the plurality of radially outwardly facing grooves;

a cage surrounding the inner joint member and defining a plurality of windows wherein each of the plurality of balls individually pierces one of the plurality of windows, the plurality of windows including short windows adjacent the substantially longitudinal grooves and long windows adjacent the substantially helical grooves; and

an outer joint member surrounding the cage and having a third end and a fourth end and an inner surface defining a plurality of radially inwardly facing grooves extending from the third end to the fourth end including four substantially longitudinal grooves disposed along the inner surface ninety degrees from one another and four substantially helical grooves individually disposed along the inner surface between two

Application/Control Number: 10/730,309

Art Unit: 3679

of the four substantially longitudinal grooves and wherein the plurality of outwardly facing grooves of the inner joint member cooperate with the plurality of inwardly facing grooves of the outer joint member forming a plurality of passages guiding movement of the plurality of balls.

In regards to claim 13, Aucktor et al in view of Schwarlzer disclose the substantially helical grooves and the substantially longitudinal grooves being disposed in alternating relation along the outer surface of the inner joint member.

In regards to claim 14, Aucktor et al in view of Schwarzler disclose each of the helical grooves of the inner joint member and each of the helical grooves of the outer joint member extending in opposite relation to two adjacent helical grooves.

In regards to claim 15, Aucktor et al in view of Schwarzler disclose at least one of the plurality of passages being defined by a first helical groove of the inner joint member and a second helical groove of the outer joint member wherein the first and second helical grooves extend in opposite rotational relation to one another.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/26/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues:

Claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Aucktor et al. Claim 1 has been amended to recite that the inner joint member includes a longitudinal axis and that each helical groove is disposed in mirrored rotational relation with a corresponding helical groove disposed on an opposite side of the longitudinal axis. Such an arrangement is neither shown nor suggested in Aucktor et al. It is therefore submitted that claim 1, as amended distinguishes applicants' invention patentably over

Art Unit: 3679

Aucktor et al. and should be allowed. Claim 12 has been amended in such a manner as to distinguish applicants' invention patentably over Aucktor et al. in view of Schwarzler. As amended claim 12 recites a plurality of longitudinal grooves and a plurality of helical grooves and, as in claim 1 above, wherein the helical grooves are arranged opposite one another along a longitudinal axis of the inner joint member. Neither Aucktor nor Schwarzler alone or in combination teaches or suggests such a joint assembly and it is respectfully submitted, therefore, that claim 12 distinguishes applicants' invention patentably over the cited art and should be allowed.

The Examiner disagrees. In Figure 5, Auckor et al clearly illustrates the inner joint member including a longitudinal axis and that each helical groove is disposed in mirrored rotational relation with a corresponding helical groove disposed on an opposite side of the longitudinal axis. Therefore, Auckor et al meets the claim limitations.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M Dunwoody whose telephone number is 703-306-3436. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P Stodola can be reached on 703-306-5771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aaron M Dunwoody

Examiner Art Unit 3679

.amd